

FLOW ENHANCEMENT USING PLANT BASED POLYMERS ADDITIVES IN BRAIN- VESSELS-LIKE MICROCHANNELS


SOMAYE HEIDARINIK

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that We have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.



(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. ZULKEFLI BIN YAACOB

Position : PROFESSOR

Date : 02/09/2019

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. HAYDER A. ABDULBARI

Position : PROFESSOR

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SOMAYE HEIDARINIK

ID Number : MKC14009

Date :

FLOW ENHANCEMENT USING PLANT BASED POLYMER ADDITIVES IN
BRAIN-VESSELS-LIKE MICROCHANNELS

SOMAYE HEIDARINIK

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Chemical and Process Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

SEPTEMBER 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Professor Dr. Zulkefli bin Yaacob and co-supervisor Professor Dr. Hayder for the useful comments, remarks and engagement through the learning process of this master thesis. Furthermore I would like to thank Professor Dr. Hayder for introducing me to the topic as well for the support on the way. Also, I like to thank the participants in my survey, who have willingly shared their precious time during the process of interviewing. I would like to thank my loved ones, who have supported me throughout entire process, both by keeping me harmonious and helping me putting pieces together. I will be grateful forever for your love.

ABSTRAK

Bahan tambah Polimer organik untuk pengurangan seretan (DRA) yang diperolehi dengan mengekstrak sumber semulajadi telah mula mendapat minat dan perhatian para saintis dalam usaha menggantikan sintetik DRA. Sedikit suntikan (Nano-Molar) bahan pengurangan seretan polimer (DRPs) akan meningkatkan pengaliran darah, pengoksigenan tisu, perfusi dan mengurangkan resisten vaskular darah pada haiwan tanpa mengubah bentuk salur darah mahupun kepekatan darah. Selanjutnya, dalam kajian mikrobendalir menunjukkan dengan penambahan DRPs ini akan menambahkan lagi pengagihan sel darah merah (RBC) pada sistem aliran mikro. Dalam penyelidikan ini, kesan DRA berasaskan tumbuhan terhadap peningkatan aliran cecair dalam mikrosaluran umpama salur darah otak akan dikaji. Selain dari itu, penyediaan ini juga bertujuan untuk mengkaji kebolehsanan ekstrak polimer dari sumber tumbuhan dalam peningkatan alirancecair di dalam mikrosaluran. Dengan perkembangan teknologi mikrobendalir masa kini membolehkan pelbagai teori dan fenomena di perolehi, ia juga merupakan suatu kaedah ujian itu menjadi lebih jimat dan boleh dipercayai untuk bidang kejuruteraan mahupun perubatan berbanding dengan kaedah secara konvensional yang menggunakan batang paip, banyak bahan kimia dan bahan uji. Polimer organik ini di perolehi dari lendir kacang bendi, lidah buaya dan bunga raya secara kaedah pengekstrakan berair. Beberapa surfaktan dan larutan polimer disediakan pada asas nisbah berat/berat dengan menambahkan air nyah ion (DI) yang bertindak sebagai bendalir kerja. Lima set mikrosaluran yang mempunyai ketebalan 200 μ m dengan pelbagai bentuk luas sekatan merupakan simulasi sebagai saluran darah jantung manusia di bina dengan menggunakan kaedah Litografi dan diacukan dengan polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). Ujikaji ini dijalankan menggunakan sistem gelung buka (open-loop) mikrobendalir yang dihubungkan ke mikrosaluran yang dibuat khas itu. Pretasi peningkatan aliran menggunakan pelbagai kepekatan bahan tambah dari (100, 300, 500, 700 dan 1000ppm) telah dinilai dengan merekodkan kadar aliran yang berpadanan dengan tekanan operasi (50 hingga 500mbar) untuk larutan bendi, lidah buaya dan bunga raya. Adalah dengan ini penting untuk menggambarkan bahawa kajian polimer semulajadi ini mempunyai ciri ciri pengurangan seretan. Hasil dari ujian ini, suatu hubungan tidak linear diperolehi antara kepekatan bahan tambah dan peratusan kenaikan kadar aliran %FI. Jesteru itu, meningkatnya kepekatan polimer akan meningkatkan %FI sehingga ke satu had yang dipanggil kepekatan kritikal, di mana luar titik ini setiap peningkatan kepekatan mempunyai kesan negatif kepada pengurangan seretan. Dari hasil keputusan ujikaji, lendir lidah buaya memberikan kesan (14.02%) pengurangan seretan %FI yang tertinggi pada kepekatan (100, 300 dan 500ppm) manakala bendi (13.5%) pada kepekatan (700 dan 1000ppm). Dalam kebanyakan kes, dengan Meningkatnya tekanan operasi ini sehingga ke tekanan kritikal 400 mbar, akan meningkatkan %FI. Keputusan ujikaji mengesahkan bahawa bahan tambah ini berpontensi digunakan dalam bidang perubatan untuk menambah aliran darah pada saluran darah yang separa tersumbat dan ini merupakan satu pilihan rawatan alternatif untuk pesakit jantung. Ini adalah disarankan untuk menyelidik dan meneroka polimer semulajadi yang baharu yang mana dapat melarutkan atau menghakis kolestrol yang menyebabkan penyempitan saluran darah.

ABSTRACT

Plant based polymeric drag reduction additives (DRAs) obtained and extracted from natural resources started to gain interest and attention from scientists in an effort to replace the existing synthetic DRAs. The injection of a very small (Nano-molar) concentration of water soluble drag reducing polymers (DRPs) increases the blood circulation, tissue oxygenation and perfusion and decreases vascular resistance in animal models with no effect on blood vessels tone or viscosity. Furthermore, in microfluidic studies, it has been shown that the addition of DRPs will alter the distribution of red blood cells (RBC) in microcirculation. In this study, the effect of plant base DRAs on liquid flow enhancement through brain-vessels-like microchannels is investigated. The present work aims to study the feasibility of the natural polymer extracted from plant sources in enhancing the liquid flow in microchannels. Due to the development of microfluidics technology as an economical and reliable method for testing different theoretical phenomena related to engineering fields and medical fields, microchannel was utilized replacing the conventional method by using pipes which can reduce the usage of the chemical and reagents significantly. Plant base polymers were extracted from Okra, Aloe Vera and Hibiscus leaves using water extraction method. Different polymers solution concentrations were prepared by weight/weight basis after extraction by adding deionized (DI) water which acted as working fluid. Four microchannels which have a thickness about 200 μm with different clogging area simulating the human brain vessel size were fabricated using direct writing Lithography technique approach and then molded with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). The experiment was conducted using an open-loop microfluidic system. The flow enhancement performance of different concentration of the additives with different range of concentrations (100, 300, 500, 700 and 1000ppm) were evaluated by recording the flow rate corresponding to the operating pressure (50 to 500 mbar) for Okra, Aloe Vera and Hibiscus solutions. It is important to highlight that the examined plant base polymers have drag reduction properties. A non-linear relationship was obtained from this work between the concentration of the additives and percentage of flow rate increment (%FI). Increasing the polymer concentration increases the %FI until a limit which so-called critical concentration where beyond this point continuous increasing the concentration have an adverse effect on drag reduction. From the experimental results, Aloe Vera mucilage gives highest drag reduction performance (14.02%) by achieving higher %FI as Aloe Vera in lower concentrations (100, 300 and 500ppm) mucilage and Okra (13.5%) for higher concentrations (700 and 1000ppm). Moreover, Hibiscus showed the lowest %FI in this study (-15%). In most of the cases, increasing of the operating pressure up to the critical pressure of 400mbar, resulted in the increasing of %FI. The experimental results validate the potential use of these additives in medical fields to enhance the blood flow in semi-clogged blood streams which can be an alternative treatment for cardiovascular diseases. It was recommended that more new natural polymeric DRAs should be investigated and explored the possibility of these polymers to dissolve the cholesterol which cause narrowing of the blood vessels.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **ix**

LIST OF FIGURES **x**

LIST OF SYMBOLS **xv**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **xvi**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Background 1

1.2 Problem Statement 3

1.3 Objective 5

1.4 Scope 5

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **6**

2.1 Introduction 6

2.2 Flow Behaviour in Micro-channels 6

2.2.1 Fundamental concerns in liquid flow at micro-scale 6

2.2.2 Pressure Drop and Friction in Microchannels 7

2.3	Drag Reduction	10
2.4	Microfluidics Technology	11
2.4.1	Microfluidics Chip	12
2.4.2	Fabrication of Microfluidics	13
2.4.3	Active Drag Reduction Methods in Microchannels	17
2.4.4	Different Parameters which Affect DR Performance	27
2.4.5	Application of Drag Reduction Phenomenon in Microfluidic systems	28
2.4.6	Mechanism of Intravascular DRP Effects	29
2.5	Experimental Procedure	30
2.6	Summary	31
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS		32
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Flow Diagram	32
3.3	Materials	34
3.3.1	Plant Based Drag Reduction Polymers	34
3.3.2	Transported Liquid	35
3.4	Plant Based Polymers Extraction	35
3.5	Plant based Polymers Analysis	35
3.5.1	PH Determination	36
3.5.2	Rheological Analysis	36

3.5.3	Differential scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	36
3.5.4	Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)	36
3.5.5	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)	36
3.5.6	Surface Tension	37
3.5.7	Dynamic Modelling and Statistical Characterization of Materials	37
3.6	MicroFabrication	37
3.7	Open Flow Microchannel Asse	41
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Plant based Polymers Analysis	43
4.2.1	PH Determination	43
4.2.2	PRheological Analysis	44
4.2.3	Differential scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	47
4.2.4	FTIR (Fourier Transform Infrared)	49
4.2.5	NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance)	52
4.2.6	Surface Tension	56
4.2.7	Dynamic Modelling and Statistical Characterization of Materials	56
4.3	Fabrication of Micro-channels	58
4.4	Experimental Results	62
4.4.1	Effect of Additives Concentration	62
4.4.2	Effect of Type of Additive	72

4.4.3	Effect of Pressure Applied	85
4.4.4	Effect of Clogging Location	94
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		99
REFERENCES		102
APPENDIX		113

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of synthetic polymeric as drag reducing additives in enhancing fluid flow in pipeline systems	26
Table 2.2	Summary of natural polymeric as drag reducing additives in enhancing fluid flow in pipeline systems	27
Table 3.1	Method of preparing the solutions	35
Table 4.1	PH of mucilage	44
Table 4.2	Components of mucilage	52
Table 4.3	Maximum and Minimum of %FI	98

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	(a) A glass microfluidic device, featuring a network of microchannels in which fluids can be manipulated. (b) Laminar flow of red and blue inks within a microfluidic device	13
Figure 2.2	Sample of plant base polymers which are able to use in biomedical applications	23
Figure 3.1	diagram of Flow experimental work	33
Figure 3.2	three types of plant based polymeric additives tested in this study. (a): Okra, (b): Aloe Vera and (c): Hibiscus	34
Figure 3.3	a: Angiogram of brain blood vessels and b: The primary design of brain-vessels-like microfluidic device using Auto Cad software.	40
Figure 3.5	Four models of microchannels with different clogging areas used in this study. a: model1, b: model2, c: model 3 and d: model	41
Figure 3.6	Schematic Diagram of the Experimental Set-up	42
Figure 3.7	Experimental Set-ups	42
Figure 4.1	Viscosity of Okra mucilage for five concentrations at different spindle speeds	44
Figure 4.2	Viscosity of Aloe Vera mucilage for five concentrations at different spindle speeds	45
Figure 4.3	Viscosity of Hibiscus mucilage for five concentrations at different spindle speeds	45
Figure 4.4	Shear stress of Okra mucilage for five concentrations varying the shear rate	46
Figure 4.5	Shear stress of Aloe Vera mucilage for five concentrations varying the shear rate	46
Figure 4.6	Shear stress of Hibiscus mucilage for five concentrations varying the shear rate	47
Figure 4.7	Thermal analysis of Okra mucilage using an aluminum pan	47
Figure 4.8	Thermal analysis of Aloe Vera mucilage using an aluminum pan	48
Figure 4.9	Thermal analysis of Hibiscus mucilage using an aluminum pan	48
Figure 4.10	FTIR spectra for extracted mucilage	49

Figure 4.11	FTIR spectra for Okra mucilage	50
Figure 4.12	FTIR spectra for Aloe Vera mucilage	50
Figure 4.13	FTIR spectra for Hibiscus mucilage	51
Figure 4.14	¹ H NMR spectrum of extracted Okra mucilage	53
Figure 4.15	¹ H NMR spectrum of extracted Aloe Vera mucilage	54
Figure 4.16	¹ H NMR spectrum of extracted Hibiscus mucilage	55
Figure 4.17	Surface tension vs. concentration of organic DRPs	56
Figure 4.18	Dynamic modules as a function of the frequency for water	57
Figure 4.19	Dynamic modules as a function of frequency for Okra at different concentrations	58
Figure 4.20	Four silicon wafers coated by SU-8	59
Figure 4.21	The complete mastermold	60
Figure 4.22	The complete micrichannel	60
Figure 4.23	SEM test of microchannels cross section	61
Figure 4.24	The complete microchannel with no leakage	61
Figure 4.25	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 1 vs. concentrations of Okra solutions for different operating pressures	63
Figure 4.26	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 1 vs. concentrations of Aloe Vera solutions for different operating pressures	63
Figure 4.27	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 1 vs. concentrations of Hibiscus solutions for different operating pressures	64
Figure 4.28	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 2 vs. concentrations of Okra solutions for different operating pressures	65
Figure 4.29	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 2 vs. concentrations of Aloe Vera solutions for different operating pressures	66
Figure 4.30	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 2 vs. concentrations of Hibiscus solutions for different operating pressures	66
Figure 4.31	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 3 vs. concentrations of Okra solutions for different operating pressures	68
Figure 4.32	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 3 vs. concentrations of Aloe Vera solutions for different operating pressures	68

Figure 4.33	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 3 vs. concentrations of Hibiscus solutions for different operating pressures	69
Figure 4.34	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 4 vs. concentrations of Okra solutions for different operating pressures	70
Figure 4.35	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 4 vs. concentrations of Aloe Vera solutions for different operating pressures	71
Figure 4.36	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 4 vs. concentrations of Hibiscus solutions for different operating pressures	71
Figure 4.37	Variation of %Flow rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for 100ppm of three types of mucilage	73
Figure 4.38	Variation of %Flow rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for 300ppm of three types of mucilage	73
Figure 4.39	Variation of %Flow rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for 500ppm of three types of mucilage	74
Figure 4.40	Variation of %Flow rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for 700ppm of three types of mucilage	74
Figure 4.41	Variation of %Flow rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for 1000ppm of three types of mucilage	75
Figure 4.42	Variation of %Flow rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for 100ppm of three types of mucilage	76
Figure 4.43	Variation of %Flow rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for 300ppm of three types of mucilage	76
Figure 4.44	Variation of %Flow rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for 500ppm of three types of mucilage	77
Figure 4.45	Variation of %Flow rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for 700ppm of three types of mucilage	77
Figure 4.46	Variation of %Flow rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for 1000ppm of three types of mucilage	78
Figure 4.47	Variation of %Flow rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for 100ppm of three types of mucilage	79
Figure 4.48	Variation of %Flow rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for 300ppm of three types of mucilage	79
Figure 4.49	Variation of %Flow rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for 500ppm of three types of mucilage	80

Figure 4.50	Variation of %Flow rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for 700ppm of three types of mucilage	80
Figure 4.51	Variation of %Flow rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for 1000ppm of three types of mucilage	81
Figure 4.52	Variation of %Flow rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for 100ppm of three types of mucilage	82
Figure 4.53	Variation of %Flow rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for 300ppm of three types of mucilage	82
Figure 4.54	Variation of %Flow rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for 500ppm of three types of mucilage	83
Figure 4.55	Variation of %Flow rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for 700ppm of three types of mucilage	83
Figure 4.56	Variation of %Flow rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for 1000ppm of three types of mucilage	84
Figure 4.57	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for different Okra mucilage concentrations	86
Figure 4.58	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for different Aloe Vera mucilage concentrations	86
Figure 4.59	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 1 vs. operating pressure for different Hibiscus mucilage concentrations	87
Figure 4.60	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for different Okra mucilage concentrations	88
Figure 4.61	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for different Aloe Vera mucilage concentrations	88
Figure 4.62	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 2 vs. operating pressure for different Hibiscus mucilage concentrations	89
Figure 4.63	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for different Okra mucilage concentrations	90
Figure 4.64	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for different Aloe Vera mucilage concentrations	90
Figure 4.65	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 3 vs. operating pressure for different Hibiscus mucilage concentrations	91
Figure 4.66	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for different Okra mucilage concentrations	92

Figure 4.67	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for different Aloe Vera mucilage concentrations	92
Figure 4.68	Variation of %Flow Rate of model 4 vs. operating pressure for different Hibiscus mucilage concentrations	93
Figure 4.69	Variation of %FI at various Okra mucilage concentration in different microchannels for 250 mbar	94
Figure 4.70	Variation of %FI at various Aloe Vera mucilage concentration in different microchannels for 250 mbar	70
Figure 4.71	Variation of %FI at various Hibiscus mucilage concentration in different microchannels for 250 mbar	71
Figure 4.72	Chemical structure of polysacharid of Okra mucilage	96
Figure 4.73	Chemical structure of polysacharid of Aloe Vera mucilage	97
Figure 4.74	Chemical structure of D-Xylulose which is the major component of Okra and Aloe Vera	98

LIST OF SYMBOLS

m	Meter
ppm	Part per million
ρ	Fluid density
μ	Dynamic viscosity of fluid
D	Internal diameter
μm	Micro meter
mm	Millimetre
mbar	Millibar
g	Gram
C	Concentration
Pa	Pascal
min	Minute
s	Second
<i>a</i>	after drag reducing additive addition
<i>b</i>	before drag reducing additive addition

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

%DR	Percentage of drag reduction
%FI	Percentage of flow rate increment
APG1214	Alkyl Polyglycoside
CMC	Carboxymethylcellulose
DI water	Deionized water
DR	Drag reduction
DRA	Drag reducing additives
DRP	Drag reduction polymer
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimeter
FI	Flow rate increasement
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
HF	Hydrogen fluoride
HNO ₃	Nitric acid
ID	Inner diameter
IR laser	Infrared laser
KOH	Potassium hydroxide
MEMS	Microelectromechanical systems
NaAMPS	Sodium 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulphonic acid
PA	Polyamide
PAM	Polyacrylamide
Poly(AM-co-AA)	Poly(acrylamide-co-acrylic acid)
PCI	Percutaneous coronary intervention
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PEO	Polyethylene oxide
PiB	Polyisobutylene
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry
PMMA	Polymethyl methacrylate
ppm	Parts per million
Re	Reynolds number
RIE	Reactive-ion etching

SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
T _g	Glass transition temperature
XG	Xanthan gum

REFERENCES

- Abdulbari, H., Kamarulizam, N., & Nour, A. H. (2012). Grafted natural polymer as new drag reducing agent: An experimental approach. *Chemical Industry and Chemical Engineering Quarterly*, 18(3), 361–371. <https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ111206012A>
- Abubakar, A., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Hashmi, A. R., & Al-Ajmi, A. (2014). Roles of drag reducing polymers in single- and multi-phase flows. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 92(11), 2153–2181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2014.02.031>
- Abubakar, A., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Hashmi, A. R., Al-Ajmi, A., & Eshrati, M. (2018). Effect of pipe diameter on horizontal oil-water flow before and after addition of drag-reducing polymer part II: Holdup and slip ratio. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 162, 143–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2017.12.015>
- Afzal, A., & Kim, K. (2014). Flow and mixing analysis of non-Newtonian fluids in straight and serpentine microchannels. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 116, 263–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2014.05.021>
- Ag, H., Physik, A., Frankfurt, F. R. G., Chemic, P., & G, U. B. F. R. (1986). *Rheologica Acta* 25:468-486 (1986) Surfaetant systems for drag reduction: physico-chemical properties and rheological behaviour, 486, 468–486.
- Akbari, M., Sinton, D., & Bahrami, M. (2009). Pressure Drop in Rectangular Microchannels as Compared With Theory Based on Arbitrary Cross Section. *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, 131(4), 041202. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3077143>
- Al-Sarkhi, A. (2010). Drag reduction with polymers in gas-liquid/liquid-liquid flows in pipes: A literature review. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 2(1), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2010.01.001>
- Al-Wahaibi, T., Abubakar, A., Al-Hashmi, A. R., Al-Wahaibi, Y., & Al-Ajmi, A. (2017). Energy analysis of oil-water flow with drag-reducing polymer in different pipe inclinations and diameters. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 149(November 2016), 315–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2016.10.060>
- Alexander, G. C. (2006). The Effect of Adding Drag-Reducing Polymers to Resuscitation Fluid During Hemorrhagic Shock on Skeletal Muscle Microcirculation.
- Almeida, A. R. D., & Diasb, M. L. (1997). Comparative study of shear degradation of carboxymethylcellulose a poly (ethylene oxide) in aqueous, 56(Cmc), 331–337.

- Anthony, K., & Marsella, R. (2001). (12) United States Patent (16) Patent N68, 1(12).
- Asadi, M., Xie, G., & Sunden, B. (2014). A review of heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics of single and two-phase microchannels. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2014.07.090>
- Ashraf, M. W., Tayyaba, S., & Afzulpurkar, N. (2011). Micro Electromechanical Systems (MEMS) based microfluidic devices for biomedical applications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12063648>
- Attia, U. M., Marson, Æ. S., & Alcock, Æ. J. R. (2009a). Micro-injection moulding of polymer microfluidic devices, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10404-009-0421-x>
- Attia, U. M., Marson, S., & Alcock, J. R. (2009b). Micro-Injection Moulding of Polymer Microfluidic Devices, 7(1), 1–28.
- Bari, H. A., & Faraj, E. (2015). Studying the Interaction between a New Mixture in Enhancing Drag Reduction Efficiency. *International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applications*, 6(4), 277–280. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJCEA.2015.V6.496>
- Bark, B. F. H. (1975). Drag reduction in turbulent flow due to additives : a report on *Euromech* 52, 129–138.
- Becker, H., & Locascio, L. E. (2002). Polymer microfluidic devices, 56, 267–287.
- Beebe, D. J., Mensing, G. a, & Walker, G. M. (2002). Physics and applications of microfluidics in biology. *Annual review of biomedical engineering* (Vol. 4). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bioeng.4.112601.125916>
- Benzi, R., Ching, E. S. C., Horesh, N., & Procaccia, I. (2004). Theory of Concentration Dependence in Drag Reduction by Polymers and of the Maximum Drag Reduction Asymptote. *Physical Review Letters*, 92(7), 078302. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.078302>
- Bredberg, J., & Davidson, L. (2004). Low-Reynolds Number Turbulence Models: An Approach for Reducing Mesh Sensitivity. *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, 126, 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1638791>
- Chen, J., Chen, D., Xie, Y., Yuan, T., & Chen, X. (2013). Progress of Microfluidics for Biology and Medicine Citation, 5(1), 66–80. <https://doi.org/10.3786/nml.v5i1.p66-80>
- Cheng, L., Mewes, D., & Luke, A. (2007). Boiling phenomena with surfactants and polymeric additives : A state-of-the-art review, 50, 2744–2771. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2006.11.016>

- Cho, S.-H., Tae, C.-S., & Zaheeruddin, M. (2007). Effect of fluid velocity, temperature, and concentration of non-ionic surfactants on drag reduction. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 48(3), 913–918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2006.08.021>
- Choi, S. B., Barron, R. F., & Warrington, R. O. (1991). Fluid flow and heat transfer in microtubes. In *Micromechanical Sensors, Actuators and Systems*, ASME DSC (Vol. 32, pp. 123–134).
- Choi, S. J., & Yoo, B. (2006). Rheological effect of gum addition to hot pepper-soybean pastes. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 41(SUPPL. 2), 56–62. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01377.x>
- De Rosa, I. M., Kenny, J. M., Puglia, D., Santulli, C., & Sarasini, F. (2010). Morphological, thermal and mechanical characterization of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) fibres as potential reinforcement in polymer composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 70(1), 116–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.09.013>
- Divoux, T. (2006). Turbulent drag reduction by surfactants, (April), 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2005-10519-x>
- Engineering, E., & Nanotechnology, N. (2014). Microfluidic bio-particle manipulation for biotechnology, 92, 63–82.
- Eshtrati, M., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Hashmi, A. R., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Ajmi, A., & Abubakar, A. (2017). Experimental study of drag reduction of polymer-polymer mixtures in horizontal dispersed oil-water flow. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 83, 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2017.01.006>
- Faruqui, F. I., Otten, M. D., & Sc, B. (1987). Protection against atherogenesis with the drag-reducing agent Separan AP-30, 75(3), 627–636.
- Ferhat, H., Markus, H., Anthony, B., & Sylvain, G. (2006). Experimental Study on Drag Reduction by Surfactant Additives in Turbulent Pipe Flow, 337–344.
- Friend, J., & Yeo, L. (2010). Fabrication of microfluidic devices using polydimethylsiloxane, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3259624>
- Fu-quan, S., Jia-chuan, Z. U. O., & Jian-dong, W. (n.d.). The Drag Reduction Performances of Surfactant in Micro-channel, 459–463.
- Gannushkina, I. V, Antelava, A. L., & Baranchikova, M. V. (1994). Suppression of Experimental Alimentary Atherosclerosis with Drag Reducing Polymers, 1219–1222.

- Gillissen, J. J. J. (2008). Polymer flexibility and turbulent drag reduction. *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, 78(4). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.046311>
- Goldstein, Y., Grover, N. B., Chang, C., Jelli, A., Andre, J., Mark, P., ... Mark, P. (1979). A Gas Chromatographic Air Analyzer Fabricated, (12), 1880–1886.
- Gu, M. (n.d.). Microfluidic sensing : state of the art Microfluidic sensing : state of the art fabrication and. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3607430>
- Guo, L., Feng, J., Fang, Z., Xu, J., & Lu, X. (2015). Trends in Food Science & Technology Application of micro fluidic “ lab-on-a-chip ” for the detection of mycotoxins in foods *. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 46(2), 252–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.09.005>
- Han, X., Liu, X., Tian, L., Zhang, H., Mao, Z., & Manufacturing, M. (2015). A Non-Photolithography Fabrication for a Microfluidic Chip Based on PMMA Polymer, 107–122. <https://doi.org/10.3390/machines3020107>
- Hawege, H. A. and E. (2015). Polymer-Surfactant Complex for Enhancing the Mechanical and Drag Reduction Performances of a Turbulent Flow. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 9(8), 1–6.
- Hetsroni, G., Gurevich, M., Mosyak, A., & Rozenblit, R. (2004). Drag reduction and heat transfer of surfactants flowing in a capillary tube, 47, 3797–3809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2004.03.009>
- Hrnjak, P., & Tu, X. (2007). Single phase pressure drop in microchannels. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 28(1 SPEC. ISS.), 2–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2006.05.005>
- Hui, L. (2013). Aloe vera 1., (1999).
- Hwang, Y. W., & Kim, M. S. (2006). The pressure drop in microtubes and the correlation development. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 49(11–12), 1804–1812. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2005.10.040>
- It, C., & Commons, C. (2006). Downloaded from UvA-DARE, the institutional repository of the University of Amsterdam (UvA) <http://hdl.handle.net/11245/2.46288>. <https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2005-10519-x>
- Joshi, L. C., Singh, S., & Kumar, S. R. (2014). A review on enhancement of heat transfer in microchannel heat exchanger, 1(9), 529–535.
- Judy, J., Maynes, D., & Webb, B. W. (2002). Characterization of frictional pressure drop for liquid flows through microchannels. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 45(17), 3477–3489. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310\(02\)00076-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(02)00076-5)

- Kalashnikov, V. N. (1998). Dynamical similarity and dimensionless relations for turbulent drag reduction by polymer additives. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 75(2–3), 209–230. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0257\(97\)00093-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0257(97)00093-1)
- Kameneva, M. V. (2012a). International Journal of Engineering Science Microrheological effects of drag-reducing polymers in vitro and in vivo. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 59, 168–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2012.03.014>
- Kameneva, M. V. (2012b). International Journal of Engineering Science Microrheological effects of drag-reducing polymers in vitro and in vivo, 59, 168–183.
- Kameneva, M. V., & Fink, M. P. (2005). Hemorrhagic shock , drag-reducing polymers and ‘ spherical cows ,’ 9(3), 304–305. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc3490>
- Kameneva, M. V, Wu, Z. J., Uraysh, A., & Repko, B. (2004). Blood soluble drag-reducing polymers prevent lethality from hemorrhagic shock in acute animal experiments, 41, 53–64.
- Kandlikar, S. G., & Grande, W. J. (2002). Evolution of microchannel flow passages – thermohydraulic performance and fabrication technology, 1–13.
- Karami, H. R., & Mowla, D. (2012). Investigation of the effects of various parameters on pressure drop reduction in crude oil pipelines by drag reducing agents. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 177–178, 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2012.04.001>
- Kim, N. J., Kim, S., Lim, S. H., Chen, K., & Chun, W. (2009). Measurement of drag reduction in polymer added turbulent flow. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 36(10), 1014–1019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2009.08.002>
- Kulicke, W. M., Kötter, M., & Gräger, H. (1989). Drag reduction phenomenon with special emphasis on homogeneous polymer solutions. *Polymer Characterization/Polymer Solutions*, 89, 1–68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0032288>
- Kunert, C., & Harting, J. (2007). Roughness induced boundary slip in microchannel flows. *Physical Review Letters*, 99(17). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.176001>
- Langevin, D. (2013). Study on the interfacial properties of surfactants and their interactions with DNA Yunfei He Mention : Physique.

- Le Brun, N., Zadrazil, I., Norman, L., Bismarck, A., & Markides, C. N. (2016). On the drag reduction effect and shear stability of improved acrylamide copolymers for enhanced hydraulic fracturing. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 146, 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.02.009>
- Lee, C. Y., Chang, C. L., Wang, Y. N., & Fu, L. M. (2011). Microfluidic mixing: A review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12053263>
- Li, C. F., Sureshkumar, R., & Khomami, B. (2006). Influence of rheological parameters on polymer induced turbulent drag reduction. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 140(1–3), 23–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2005.12.012>
- Li, X., Chen, W., Liu, G., Lu, W., & Fu, J. (2014). Lab on a Chip. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4lc00350k>
- Liberatore, M. W., Baik, S., McHugh, A. J., & Hanratty, T. J. (2004). Turbulent drag reduction of polyacrylamide solutions: Effect of degradation on molecular weight distribution. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 123(2–3), 175–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2004.08.006>
- Lima, R., Wada, S., Tanaka, S., Takeda, M., & Ishikawa, T. (2008). In vitro blood flow in a rectangular PDMS microchannel : experimental observations using a confocal micro-PIV system, 1–19.
- Lin, G. G., & Scott, J. G. (2012). NIH Public Access, 100(2), 130–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2011.02.012>. Investigations
- Macias, C. A., Kameneva, M. V., Tenhunen, J. J., Puyana, J.-C., & Fink, M. P. (2004). Survival in a Rat Model of Lethal Hemorrhagic Shock Is Prolonged Following Resuscitation With a Small Volume of a Solution Containing a Drag-Reducing Polymer Derived From Aloe Vera. *Shock*, 22(2), 151–156. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.shk.0000131489.83194.1a>
- Malviya, R. (2011). Extraction characterization and evaluation of selected mucilage as pharmaceutical excipient. *Polimery w Medycynie*, 41(3), 39–44. Retrieved from <http://www.polimery.am.wroc.pl/pdf/153.pdf>
- Manuscript, A. (2011). NIH Public Access, 47, 193–203. <https://doi.org/10.3233/BIR-2010-0570>. Drag-reducing
- Mappes, T., & Achenbach, S. (2007). X-ray lithography for devices with high aspect ratio polymer submicron structures, 84, 1235–1239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2007.01.154>

- Marhefka, J. N., & Kameneva, M. V. (2016). Natural Drag-Reducing Polymers : Discovery , 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fluids1020006>
- Marhefka, J. N., Velankar, S. S., & Chapman, T. M. (2008). Mechanical degradation of drag reducing polymers in suspensions of blood cells and rigid particles, 45, 599–609. <https://doi.org/10.3233/BIR-2008-0511>
- Marhefka, J. N., Zhao, R., Wu, Z. J., Velankar, S. S., Antaki, J. F., & Kameneva, M. V. (2009). Drag reducing polymers improve tissue perfusion via modification of the RBC traffic in microvessels, 46, 281–292. <https://doi.org/10.3233/BIR-2009-0543>
- Martin, C. R., & Aksay, I. A. (2005). Microchannel molding : A soft lithography-inspired approach to micrometer-scale patterning, 5263(April), 1995–2003. <https://doi.org/10.1557/JMR.2005.0251>
- Mcdonald, J. C., Duffy, D. C., Anderson, J. R., & Chiu, D. T. (2000). Review General Fabrication of microfluidic systems in poly (dimethylsiloxane).
- Montante, G., Laurenzi, F., Paglianti, A., & Magelli, F. (2011). Chemical Engineering Research and Design A study on some effects of a drag-reducing agent on the performance of a stirred vessel. Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 89(11), 2262–2267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2011.03.013>
- Morini, G. L. (2004). Laminar-To-Turbulent Flow Transition in Microchannels. Microscale Thermophysical Engineering, 8(May 2002), 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10893950490272902>
- Mukherjee, I., & Rosolen, M. (2013). Thermal transitions of gelatin evaluated using DSC sample pans of various seal integrities. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 114(3), 1161–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-013-3166-4>
- Myska, J., & Mik, V. (2003). Application of a drag reducing surfactant in the heating circuit, 35(October 2002), 813–819. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(02\)00243-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(02)00243-8)
- Nesyn, G. V., Konovalov, K. B., Vetrova, O. V., & Menshov, P. (2015). Laboratory Evaluation of the Drag Reduction Additives Effectiveness. Procedia Chemistry, 15, 371–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2015.10.059>
- Ngieng, A., Tiong, T., Kumar, P., & Saptoro, A. (2015). Reviews on drag reducing polymers, 32(8), 1455–1476. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-015-0104-0>
- Nguyen, N. T., & Wereley, S. (2006). Fundamentals and applications of microfluidics. Artech House, 111. <https://doi.org/http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/1521-3773%252820010316%252940%253A6%253C9823%253A%253AAID-ANIE9823%253E3.3.CO%253B2-C>

No Title. (2007).

Nour, A. H. (2012). Grafted Natural Polymer As New Drag Reducing Agent : An Experimental Approach, 18(3), 361–371. <https://doi.org/10.2298/CICEQ111206012A>

Ou, J., Perot, B., & Rothstein, J. P. (2004). Laminar drag reduction in microchannels using ultrahydrophobic surfaces, 16(12). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1812011>

Pacella, J. J., Kameneva, M. V, Csikari, M., Lu, E., & Villanueva, F. S. (2006). A novel hydrodynamic approach to the treatment of coronary artery disease {, 2362–2369. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehl165>

Paguirigan, A. L., & Beebe, D. J. (2008). Microfluidics meet cell biology: Bridging the gap by validation and application of microscale techniques for cell biological assays. *BioEssays*, 30(9), 811–821. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20804>

Patel, J. N., Kaminska, B., Gray, B. L., & Gates, B. D. (2008). PDMS as a sacrificial substrate for SU-8-based biomedical and microfluidic applications. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, 18(9), 095028. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0960-1317/18/9/095028>

Pinto, E., Faustino, V., Rodrigues, R. O., Pinho, D., Garcia, V., Miranda, J. M., & Lima, R. (2015). A Rapid and Low-Cost Nonlithographic Method to Fabricate Biomedical Microdevices for Blood Flow Analysis, 121–135. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mi6010121>

Polimeni, P. I., & Ottenbreit, B. T. (1989). Hemodynamic effects of a poly(ethylene oxide) drag-reducing polymer, Polyox WSR N-60K, in the open-chest rat. *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology*, 14(3), 374–380. <https://doi.org/Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't>

Pouranfard, A. R., Mowla, D., & Esmaeilzadeh, F. (2014). An experimental study of drag reduction by nanofluids through horizontal pipe turbulent flow of a Newtonian liquid. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 20(2), 633–637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2013.05.026>

Pribush, A., Hatzkelzon, L., Meyerstein, D., & Meyerstein, N. (2013). Colloids and Surfaces B : Biointerfaces The mechanism of the polymer-induced drag reduction in blood. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 103, 354–359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2012.11.004>

Puyana, J., & Fink, M. P. (2004). Survival In a Rat Model Of Lethal Hemorrhagic Shock Is prolonged Following Resuscitation With a Small Volume of A Solution Containing a Drag-Reducing Polymer Derived From Aloe Vera Carlos A . Macias ,* Marina V . Kameneva , †,‡ Jyrki J . Tenhunen ,*, 22(2), 151–156.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/01.shk.0000131489.83194.1a>

- Qin, D., Xia, Y., & Whitesides, G. M. (2010). Soft lithography for micro- and nanoscale patterning, 5(3), 491–502. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.234>
- Ricco, P., & Quadrio, M. (2008). Wall-oscillation conditions for drag reduction in turbulent channel flow. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 29(4), 891–902. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2007.12.005>
- Ró, J., Ró, S., & Kowalczyk, G. (2012). Effective wall slip in Couette and parallel plates flow of drag-reducing surfactant solutions, 42(August), 555–561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.07.447>
- Rodrigues, R. O., Lima, R., & Gomes, H. T. (2015). Polymer microfluidic devices : an overview of fabrication methods, 1, 67–79.
- Sharp, K. V., & Adrian, R. J. (2005). *Liquid Flows in Microchannels* 10.1, 1–48.
- Sher, I., & Hetsroni, G. (2008). A mechanistic model of turbulent drag reduction by additives. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 63(7), 1771–1778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2007.11.035>
- Somasundaran, P., & Zhang, L. (2006). Adsorption of surfactants on minerals for wettability control in improved oil recovery processes. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 52(1–4), 198–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2006.03.022>
- Steinke, M. E., & Kandlikar, S. G. (2006). Single-phase liquid friction factors in microchannels. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 45(11), 1073–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2006.01.016>
- Tang, S. K. Y., & Whitesides, G. M. (n.d.). *Basic Microfluidic and Soft Lithographic Techniques*, 7–32.
- Tarn, M. D., & Pamme, N. (2014). *Microfluidics. Reference Module in Chemistry, Molecular Sciences and Chemical Engineering*, (January 2018), 0–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409547-2.05351-8>
- Teng, J. (n.d.). *Fluid Dynamics in Microchannels*.
- Tuan, N. A., & Mizunuma, H. (2013a). High-shear drag reduction of surfactant solutions. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 198, 71–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2013.05.002>
- Tuan, N. A., & Mizunuma, H. (2013b). High-shear drag reduction of surfactant solutions. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 198, 71–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2013.05.002>

- Van Krevelen, D. W., & Te Nijenhuis, K. (2009). Chapter 1 - Polymer Properties. In *Properties of Polymers* (pp. 3–5). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-054819-7.00001-7>
- Vasudevan, M., Buse, E., Lu, D., Krishna, H., Kalyanaraman, R., Shen, A. Q., ... Sureshkumar, R. (2010). solutions by microporous flow, 9(March). <https://doi.org/10.1038/NMAT2724>
- Vijayalakshmi, K., Anoop, K. B., Patel, H. E., Harikrishna, P. V., Sundararajan, T., & Das, S. K. (2009). Effects of compressibility and transition to turbulence on flow through microchannels. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 52(9–10), 2196–2204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2008.07.056>
- Virk, P. S. (1975). Drag reduction fundamentals. *AIChE Journal*, 21(4), 625–656. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690210402>
- Virk, P. S., Merrill, E. W., Mickley, H. S., Smith, K. A., & Mollo-Christensen, E. L. (1967). The Toms phenomenon: turbulent pipe flow of dilute polymer solutions. *J. Fluid Me&*, 30(2), 305–328. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112067001442>
- Vladislavljevi, G. T., Khalid, N., Neves, M. A., Kuroiwa, T., Nakajima, M., Uemura, K., ... Andersson-svahn, H. (2013). Industrial lab-on-a-chip : Design , applications and scale-up for drug discovery and delivery ☆, 65, 1626–1663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2013.07.017>
- Wang, L., Kodzius, R., Yi, X., Li, S., Sanna, Y., & Wen, W. (2012). Sensors and Actuators B : Chemical Prototyping chips in minutes : Direct Laser Plotting (DLP) of functional microfluidic structures, 168, 214–222.
- Weibel, D. B., & Whitesides, G. M. (2006a). Applications of microfluidics in chemical biology. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 10(6), 584–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.10.016>
- Weibel, D. B., & Whitesides, G. M. (2006b). Applications of microfluidics in chemical biology, 584–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.10.016>
- White, C., & Mungal, G. (2015). Mechanics and Prediction of Turbulent Drag Reduction with Polymer Additives, (January 2008). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.40.111406.102156>
- Whitesides, G. M. (2006). The origins and the future of microfluidics, 442(July). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05058>
- Wiechert, W., Kohlheyer, D., & Gru, A. (2014). ScienceDirect Single-cell microfluidics : opportunity for bioprocess development, 15–23.

- Xia, G., Liu, Q., Qi, J., & Xu, J. (2008). Influence of surfactant on friction pressure drop, 47, 1658–1664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2008.01.014>
- YANG, S.-Q., & DOU, G. (2010). Turbulent drag reduction with polymer additive in rough pipes. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 642, 279. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211200999187X>
- Yang, S., & Ding, D. (2013). Drag reduction induced by polymer in turbulent pipe flows. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 102, 200–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2013.07.048>
- Yen, T.-H., Kasagi, N., & Suzuki, Y. (2003). Forced convective boiling heat transfer in microtubes at low mass and heat fluxes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 29, 1771–1792. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2003.09.004>
- Zadrazil, I., Bismarck, A., Hewitt, G. F., & Markides, C. N. (2012). Shear layers in the turbulent pipe flow of drag reducing polymer solutions. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 72, 142–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2011.12.044>
- Zaharuddin, N. D., Noordin, M. I., & Kadivar, A. (2014). The Use of Hibiscus esculentus (Okra) Gum in Sustaining the Release of Propranolol Hydrochloride in a Solid Oral Dosage Form The Use of Hibiscus esculentus (Okra) Gum in Sustaining the Release of Propranolol Hydrochloride in, (August). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/735891>
- Zhang, K., Lim, G. H., & Choi, H. J. (2015). Mechanical degradation of water-soluble acrylamide copolymer under a turbulent flow: Effect of molecular weight and temperature. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2015.09.031>
- Zhou, T., Liu, T., Deng, Y., Chen, L., Qian, S., & Liu, Z. (2017). Design of microfluidic channel networks with specified output flow rates using the CFD-based optimization method. *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10404-016-1842-y>